# Development Resilience: What Evidence Do We Have So Far?

Chris Barrett
October 3, 2017
USAID Resilience Evidence Forum
Washington, DC



## **Development** resilience



### Toward a theory of resilience for international development applications

Christopher B. Barretta,b,1 and Mark A. Constasa

<sup>a</sup>Charles H. Dyson School of Applied Economics and David R. Atkinson Center for a Sustainable Future and <sup>b</sup>Department of Economics Ithaca, NY 14853-7801

Edited by Anthony J. Bebbington, Clark University, Worcester, MA, and approved August 28, 2014 (received for review November 7, 2

We advance a theory of resilience as it applies to the challenges of international development. The concentualization we advance for

change of a state variable, driving variables, and still persist" (1) Later Holling distinguished bet

Barrett & Constas (*PNAS* 2014) theory of development resilience.

**Core challenge:** resilience is unobservable, a **latent variable**. We must estimate it, as it is not directly measurable. And requires longitudinal, ideally high frequency data.



## Opinion: Measuring development resilience in the world's poorest countries

Derek Headey<sup>a,1</sup> and Christopher B. Barrett<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Poverty, Health, and Nutrition Division, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Washington, DC 20015; and <sup>b</sup>Charles H. Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management, Department of Economics, and Atkinson Center for a Sustainable Future, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

widespread interest in building "develor ment resilience," which has quickly becon a centerpiece of many humanitarian and d velopment organizations' programming. But whereas a social science theory

#### Cissé & Barrett (2016) Approach To Development Resilience Estimation

- Probabilistic, moments-based econometric method to estimate well-being dynamics using indiv/hh-level panel data per Barrett & Constas (*PNAS* 2014).
- Like poverty estimation, a normative method. Assume:
  - (i) Level Minimum acceptable outcome for indiv/hh.
  - (ii) Probability Min acceptable likelihood ≥ level criterion
  - Development resilience is sufficient prob. of attaining an adequate standard of living (given shocks and stressors)
- Aggregable/decomposable, like FGT poverty measures.

#### Evidence to date

#### **Evaluation Results Thus Far: Positive**

- Private index-based livestock insurance builds resilience (dv: hh herd size, child MUAC) among northern Kenya herders (Cissé & Ikegami 2017)
- Heifer training and asset transfers significantly increase resilience (dv: hh wealth) with benefit/cost ratio ~ 7 in Zambia. (Phadera et al. 2017)

Preliminary conclusion: interventions that address financial market failures – e.g., insurance, credit/grants – causally increase resilience for rural poor.

#### Evidence to date

#### **Evaluation Results Thus Far: Negative**

- Income diversification neg associated w/ resilience (dv: exp/AE); crop diversification pos associated, but only > rural absolute poverty line (Cissé 2017).

Preliminary conclusion: diversification doesn't improve resilience for the poor.

(Consistent w/diversification as an adaptive response that trades off lower risk for lower expected reward.)

Thank you for your time and interest