Challenges and Progress in Evidence of What Matters

OLGA PETRYNIAK

Regional Resilience Director - South and East Asia



CONCEPTUALIZING URBAN RESILIENCE



SESSION 1.2: APPLYING RESILIENCE THINKING TO URBAN SPACES

Urbanization

- 54% to 70% by
 2050
- 2.5 billion people in urban areas
- 90% in Africa and Asia





BUILDING RESILIENCE IN URBAN CONTEXTS Urbanization

Figure 2. Urban and rural population of the world, 1950–2050 A majority of the world's population lives in urban areas





Mumbai Floods...





Conceptualizing Resilience





Conceptualizing Resilience





Resilience Definitions....

USAID	ROCKEFELLER	RESILIENCE MEASUREMENT - TWG
The ability of people, households, communities, countries, and systems to mitigate, adapt to, and recover from shocks and stresses in a manner that reduces chronic vulnerability and facilitates inclusive growth	Urban resilience is the capacity of individuals, communities, institutions, businesses, and systems within a city to survive, adapt, and grow no matter what kinds of chronic stresses and acute shocks they experience	The capacity that ensures adverse stressors and shocks do not have long- lasting adverse development consequences



Where do we start?



PEOPLE



SYSTEMS









How can we assess and measure whether urban systems retain functionality in the face of shocks and stresses...?





CUTTER (2008)	WEF (2013)	GALL (2013)	
Social systems covering education, health, age, etc	Social sub-system covering human capital, health, the community and the individual.	Social systems covering community network, trust, civic engagement, norms, poverty levels.	
Economic systems namely employment, income and equality, business, etc	Economic sub-system including such aspects as the macroeconomic environment, goods and services market, financial market, labor market, sustainability and productivity.	Economical systems, such as income and productivity.	
Infrastructure systems, includes housing, shelter, medical capacity, etc	Infrastructure sub-system, also known as critical infrastructure, namely communications, energy, transport, water and health.	Physical systems covering critical infrastructure and communication systems.	
Institutional/organizational systems covering experience, mitigation, political fragmentation, etc	Governance sub-system covering institutions, government, leadership, policies and the rule	Political systems including aspects such as disaster risk reduction plan.	
	ot law.	Institutional systems includes first responders, response system.	
		Technical systems includes early warning systems and emergency plans.	
Ecological systems includes area of wetlands, dunnes, etc	Environmental sub-system including aspects such as natural resources, urbanization and	Food and nutrition systems also known a food security.	
	the ecological system.	Environmental systems includes fresh water and arable land.	
		Ecological systems includes pollination and carbon sinks.	
Community systems includes social capital, innovation, political engagement, etc		Human systems including such aspects a the skills, knowledge, health, education.	



Estimates suggest that by 2050, urban population exposed to cyclones will increase from 310 million to 680 million while exposure to major earthquake will increase from 370 million to 870 million (World Bank, 2013b)





RESILIENCE FOR WHOM?

Impact Groups





RESILIENCE THROUGH WHAT? Resilience Capacities

ABSORB

ADAPT

TRANSFORM



Resilience through what? Resilience Capacities







WHERE DO WE FOCUS?





RESILIENCE IN URBAN CONTEXTS

CASE 1: Asian Cities Climate Change Resilience Network



ACCCRN Program Approach





CIREBON: City Resilience Review



City Resilience Review:



ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE





BUILDING RESILIENCE IN URBAN CONTEXTS Resilience Dashboard-Institutional

System	Sub-System	Proxy Indicator		
		Emergency Response		
	Critical	Evacuation Route		
		Shelter		
	Protective	Adequacy		
		Minimum Standard		
		Maintenance		
		Water Reserve		
Physical/	Clean Water	Distribution		
		Quality		
	Housing	Liveable		
innuotruoturo	nousing	Location		
	Transportation	Reliability		
	L MATERIA	Accessibility		
	Otility	Reliability		
	Waste	Management		
	Waste Water	Distribution		
		Availability		
	Drainage	Quality		
		Management		



BUILDING RESILIENCE IN URBAN CONTEXTS Resilience Dashboard-Institutional

system	Status	Sub- system	Status	Proxy indicator	Status	Indicator	2015	Status
1 Institutional Resilience 0.77			0.51	1.1.1 Knowledge	0.87	INS01 Participation of Local Government Institution in "urban climate resilience" workshop	5	1.00
						INS02 Capacity building of local government institution in "urban climate resilience"	4	0.80
		1.1 Internal				INS03 Knowledge saring mechanism of "urban climate resilience"	4	0.80
				1.1.2 Structure	0.67	INS04 Multistakeholder Structure of government institution	2	0.67
			1.1.3 Cross- sector	0.00	INS05 Contribution and task of every stakeholder	0	0.00	
			0.81	1.2.1 Multi- stakeholder	0.78	INS06 Participation of non government organization in resilience actions	1	1.00
	0.77					INS07 Participation of private actor sector in resilience actions	1	1.00
		1.2 External				INS08 Participation of education institution sector in resilience actions	1	0.33
				1.2.2 Cross- boundary 0.83	0.92	INS09 Good cooperation pattern	1	1.00
					INS10 Policy advocation	2	0.67	
			1.00	1.3.1 Commitment	1 00	INS11 Local policy related to urban climate resilience	1	1.00
		1.3 Product			1.00	INS12 Local financing of urban climate resilience action	1	1.00
				1.3.2	1 00	INS13 Climate Risk Assessment	1	1.00
				Assessment	1.00	INS14 City Resilience Strategy	1	1.00
				1.3.3 Inclusivity	1.00	INS15 Mainstreaming climate risk assessment and climate resilience strategy into spatial plan	1	1.00
						INS15 Mainstreaming climate risk assessment and climate resilience strategy into development plan	1	1.00



CASE 1: Asian Cities Climate Change Resilience Network



Resilience status :



Sub System :

1	Internal
2	External
3	Product
4	Capital
5	Structure
6	Culture
7	Mechanism
8	Profile
9	Livelihood
10	Knowledge
11	Food
12	Health
13	Critical Service
14	Protective Service
15	Basic Service ERCY
	CORPS

Case 2: Understanding Urban Resilience through Post-Event Analysis



TRANSFORMING CHENNAI

Building Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprise Resilience to Water-Related Environmental Change

Context

Chennai and its environs received a record-breaking 272 mm of rainfall in just 12 hours on December 1, 2015. This was 50% more than the city typically receives in the entire month of December, and came after more than a month of mosson rains that had already saturated the ground. Floads inundated the city, including the airport, major train stations, and roads in and out of the metro area.¹ The floads, reported to be the worst in a 100 years, resulted in the displacement of over 1.8 million people in the city, with economic losses estimated at \$7.43 billion – \$14.67 billion, making it the eighth most expressive natural distater in the

virial provides the second seco

 Peterzza, S. (2015). Casercal floods a directa charge webs-up coll for workd. CNN.
 Martinaham, S., & Copchordner, N. (2016). The Chemiss Bools of 2015: separatised for efficial charter nonogenest patiehas. Indian control Of Model Effect. (2) (PAB), 91
 Anaranshina, B., Braliszand, S., M., Mandal, A., Ghani, S., and Mapindar, P. (2016). Channet floods 2015. A ropid manament. (IVM, Els Communica.





Figure 1: Systems Analysis Framework of MSME Resilience in Chennai



Capacities Systems Map: Chennai



Case 2: Transforming Chennai Key Findings

- Fragmented institutions and infrastructure create challenges for balancing economic growth with environmental safeguards
- The impact of the flood disaster on MSME has as much to do with the business and institutional environment, as on the severity of the event.
- Slow or inaccessible formal financing increases the economic impact of natural hazards on firms



TRANSFORMING CHENNAI Building Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprise Resilience to Water-Related Environmental Change

Context





Case 2: Understanding Urban Resilience through Post-Event Analysis



Methodology being adopted by Zurich Alliance 2.0

Focus on Five Capital Framework (Social, Physical, Financial, Human, Natural)

Post-Event Studies conducted in Colombia South Carolina (2014), Germany (2016), Central European Floods (2013), Balkans (2014)



Case 3: Outcome-based Urban Resilience Measurement?



MULTIPLE TIMES AND SCALES



TIME

Case 3: Outcome-based Measurement?

-) Urban Planning Capacity
- Institutional coordination mechanisms
- High Transparency
- Functional DisasterCommittees
- Early Response Capacity

- High Rainfall Event
- > Typhoons

- Relative Flooding
 Reduced
- HH Assets Preserved
- EmploymentMaintained



Case 3: Outcome-based Measurement?



Phara Credit: C. Carlson/3009

URBAN RESILIENCE MEASUREMENT

An Approach Guide and Training Curriculum



DISCUSSION GROUPS

Making Evidence Matter For Urban Resilience Discuss the unique considerations to assessment and measurement in urban areas:

- What do you see as the major challenges to urban resilience measurement?
- What type of evidence do policy-makers need to advance urban resilience?
- What types of practical methods and tools can we pull from?









OLGA PETRYNIAK

Regional Resilience Director opetryniak@mercycorps.org